

To: The University Community

From: The Student Judicial Board

Executive Summary of 2010-2011 Judicial Report

During the current academic year (2010-2011), the Student Judicial Board (SJB) processed 330 documented reports warranting judicial follow-up which involved 695 students. Of those students, 574 appeared before the SJB once while 121 appeared between 2 (93) and 6 (1) times. Those reports were primarily submitted by Public Safety (227), Residential Life (74), and Fire Safety (58); of the 330 documented incidents, 28 were documented by more than one department. After reviewing the incident reports, the student co-chairs of the SJB referred 160 cases to judicial conferences with a residential life professional staff member or to the dean of students office, 97 cases to simplified hearings, 13 cases to full hearings and 61 cases to other methods of resolution. Those methods include cases resolved without formal judicial follow-up as is the case with students transported to the hospital due to severe intoxication, administrative panels for cases involving alleged violations of the sexual assault and sexual misconduct policy or through interim administrative boards when the board could not convene or if a case presented personal conflicts for the majority of the SJB members.

The cases referred to judicial conferences with professional staff in residential life were generally first time offenses or minor infractions of residential standards. Of the 695 students referred to the SJB, 348 (50%) had their cases resolved through a judicial conference. The alleged violations in those cases were primarily "privacy and tranquility" (162), "property" (28), "underage possession or use of alcohol" (139) and violations of the social event registration guidelines (145). Sanctions imposed as a result of a judicial conference have a limited scope and must be agreed upon by all parties. Because of these factors sanctioning through judicial conferences typically results in disciplinary warnings (218) along with an educational sanction (25) or service hours (19). Staff resolving judicial conferences issued disciplinary probation in 21 cases. During the current reporting period, judicial conferences were resolved 11 days (median) after the incident was documented. There continue to be cases which are not resolved as quickly; however, more effective use of the judicial tracking software and consistent communication should help to further reduce those instances.

Cases referred to the SJB and scheduled as simplified hearings are adjudicated by three student members of the Board. These cases can involve any violation of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct (CNAC) with the exception of the most serious violations. Simplified hearings are generally convened for students who have two or fewer prior violations, who are not currently on disciplinary probation or for students who have not appeared before the SJB for a significant period of time and are in good judicial standing. Of all of the students who had judicial cases, 257 (37%) were scheduled for a simplified hearing. Simplified hearings accounted for 35% of the scheduled hearings or meetings convened during the current reporting period. The charges most commonly addressed through a simplified hearing are violations of the alcohol or other drug policy (324), "privacy and tranquility" (77), "property" (73) and various departmental regulations (52). Simplified hearings were adjudicated 14 days (median) from the date of the incident report; this includes weekend days when the SJB did not meet. The timeliness of

hearings continues to be a strength of the SJB and is a testament to the dedication the students show during those times of the year, generally the early fall and the late spring, when case volume peaks. The students serving on the SJB are always careful and contemplative when considering possible sanctions; however, there are still a number of students who receive multiple warnings for similar violations, in particular when secondary cases appear to be minor violations. This has, however, continued to present difficulties when some students perceive that the repeated warnings have little consequence to them.

Those cases that the co-chairs determined to be more serious or more complex than what could be resolved through a simplified hearing were referred to the SJB and scheduled as full hearings. Full hearings require five student members of the SJB and two advisors, usually one faculty advisor and one administrative advisor. Charges considered in a full hearing can cover the full spectrum of the CNAC as full hearings can be convened to address repetitive behavior regardless of the perceived severity of the incident. Full hearings also allow the SJB to consider the full range of sanctions as a means to address those students found responsible for violating the CNAC. The most common charges brought to a full hearing are those which involve student safety; in the current reporting period the SJB addressed “driving under the influence” (1), “harassment and abuse” (12) and significant property violations (8). Other issues include repeat violations of “privacy and tranquility” (7), repeat violations of the alcohol and other drug policy (8) and reports which indicate non-compliance (6). Of these cases, there were 22 students who were charged with violating the CNAC and referred to 13 full hearings; this represents 3% of the students referred and 6% of the hearings or meetings scheduled through the SJB. Full hearings were adjudicated in 12 days (median) from the date of the reported violation.

There were 61 cases that were referred to the SJB and were resolved through alternate methods. The bulk of the cases include those students who were transported to the hospital due to severe intoxication (55), or cases involving individuals who presented conflicts of interest for too many Board members to hear the case. There were 3 cases left to be adjudicated at the conclusion of the reporting period. Two of those cases will be resolved in the summer and one has been referred to the SJB for a hearing in the fall.

Alcohol use and abuse continues to be a major issue in cases which warrant judicial follow-up through the SJB. Alcohol or other drug use was determined to be a contributing factor in 76% of all violations processed by the SJB. This includes those cases where students were charged with violating the alcohol policy or the drug policy; it also includes cases in which the alcohol policy may not have been violated but the presence or consumption of alcohol was determined to be a contributing factor.

The students who serve the University as members of the SJB do so voluntarily and diligently. During the academic year, the co-chairs of the Board meet once a week to review all judicial reports and determine charges to be filed and the most appropriate method of adjudication. The full Board meets once per week to review cases to be scheduled to insure there are no conflicts of interest. Hearings are typically scheduled once or twice a week (depending on the time of year) and additionally as needed. Given the time dedicated to reviewing incident reports and scheduling cases, as well as the expedience at which those cases have been adjudicated, those students, staff and faculty involved in the judicial process should be proud of the role they have played in upholding Wesleyan’s community standards.

2010-2011 Case Summaries

Regulation 1 - Privacy and Tranquility

The intentional infringement upon the right to privacy of any member of the community is prohibited. Disorderly and disruptive conduct and/or the persistent interruption of a reasonable level of peace and quiet is also a violation. Students should be aware that repeated violation of this regulation could result in administrative reassignment to another residential unit or area.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that Eclectic House had violated Section II, Regulations 1 and 15 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. A Student was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulations 13e of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found Eclectic House responsible and found the Student not responsible for the charges. Eclectic House was given a disciplinary warning and ten hours of community service.

In a Full Hearing, the board considered an allegation that a Student violated Section II, Regulations 1 and 4 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found this Student responsible for 1 and 4, and recommended the Student receive a suspension for the remainder of the semester and the fall semester, in addition to, disciplinary probation for a full calendar year. The student is also responsible to pay restitution for the damages to University property.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that a Student violated Section II, Regulation 1 and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found this Student responsible for 1 and 14 and recommended a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that a Student violated Section II, Regulations 1, 13b, 13c and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found the student responsible for 1, 13b, 13c and 14 and recommended a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that Students A and B violated Section II, Regulations 1 and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found Student A responsible for 1, but not responsible for Regulation 14 and recommended Student A receives a disciplinary warning.

In a Full Hearing, the board considered an allegation that a Student violated Section II, Regulations 1, 10, 13a and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The narrative stated that the student was intoxicated and riding his bike in circles when he was pulled over by Middletown Police. He was somewhat uncooperative with Middletown Police and after they wrote him a ticket, public safety was called to drive him home. The Board found the student responsible for Reckless Endangerment but did not find him responsible for the other three charges. He was given a disciplinary warning and 5 hours of community service.

In a Full Hearing, the board considered an allegation that a Student violated Section II, Regulations 1, 2 and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The narrative stated that after a Public Safety officer asked the student for identification, the student refused. At one point during a verbal altercation between the student and the officer, the student verbally threatened the officer with physical violence. The Board found the student responsible for all three charges and due to the seriousness of the charges, it was recommended that this Student be on probation until Fall of 2011 and go on two ride-alongs with a Public Safety Officer.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that (5) five students violated Section II, Regulations 1, 15 and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found four students not responsible and one Student responsible. The Board recommended this Student receive a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that Students A and B violated Section II, Regulations 1, 13b, 13c and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found Student A responsible for 1, 13b and 13c but not responsible for 14 and Student B was found not responsible. The board recommended Student A receive a disciplinary warning, attend a choices workshop and write an apology to his residential unit.

Regulation 2 - Harassment and Abuse

Harassment and Abuse, intentionally directed toward individuals or groups, may include at least the following forms: the intentional use or threat of physical violence, coercion, intimidation, and verbal harassment and abuse. Wesleyan University's commitment to nondiscrimination means that intentional discriminatory harassment may be punished more severely than nondiscriminatory or unintentional forms of harassment.

In a Full Hearing, the board considered an allegation that Students A, B and C violated Section II, Regulation 2 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found enough information to hold Student A and B responsible for a physical altercation and Student C not responsible. Students A and B were placed on deferred suspension and asked to complete community service and educational sanctions before the completion of the school year.

In a Full Hearing, the board considered an allegation that a Student violated Section II, Regulation 2, 13a, 13b, 13d and 14. The student was alleged to have been intoxicated in a residence hall and struck a visitor to campus. During the documentation of the incident, the student was combative and needed to be restrained. The board considered reports from the responding public safety officers as well as the students present during the incident in addition to the testimony of the charged student. The board found sufficient information to hold the student responsible for all charges except the possession of false identification. As a sanction, the board recommended a two semester suspension and referred the student to a drug and alcohol counselor.

Regulation 3 - Sexual Misconduct

Sexual Misconduct, including, but not limited to, sexual harassment, sexual assault, coercion, and threats or use of force, is prohibited.

The SJB convened an Administrative Panel to consider allegations that student A violated regulations 3, sexual misconduct and 15 (sexual harassment) by running into student B and allegedly grabbing Student B's breast. The Panel determined that Student A had run into Student B while intoxicated and walking home. Student A claimed it was unintentional contact and part of a game being played. The Panel found Student A responsible for violating regulation 15 (sexual harassment), but not responsible for violating regulation 3. As a sanction, the Administrative Panel recommended Disciplinary Probation, completion of an online educational module about sexual assault and alcohol, and 15 hours of University Service to be completed through the Health Education office.

Regulation 4 - Property

The unauthorized use, or the abuse, destruction, or theft of university property or the property of any of its members, guests, or neighbors is prohibited. This includes but is not limited to all tunnels, roofs, and areas under construction. This regulation prohibits the unauthorized appropriation or "borrowing" of common property for personal use.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that Eclectic House violated Section II, Regulation 4 and 15 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. Student A was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulation 15 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. Student B was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulations 13e of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. Students A, B, and Eclectic were all found responsible. Students A and B were given disciplinary warning. Eclectic was given a house disciplinary warning and 20 hours of service from physical plant for the members of the house.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that a Student violated Section II, Regulation 4 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The narrative stated that the Student was bringing a speed limit sign into freshman Fauver and was stopped by public safety. The board found the student responsible for the charge and recommended a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that a student violated Section II, Regulation 4 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The narrative stated that the Student was found shoplifting \$15 of merchandise from WESHOP. The Board found the student responsible and the student was given a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that Students A, B, and C violated Section II, Regulations 4 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found Students A, B, and C responsible for 4 and recommended Students A, B and C receive a disciplinary warning.

Regulation 13a - Drugs and Alcohol

The University prohibits underage and unlawful possession, use, abuse, or distribution of illicit drugs and alcohol. This prohibition includes, but is not limited to, the following: The possession, use, manufacture, distribution, or dispensing of illegal drugs or controlled substances by any member of the Wesleyan community. This includes the misuse or abuse of any medications prescribed by a physician to another individual. Students should be advised that university personnel may confiscate drug paraphernalia (including bongs, water pipes, etc.). Such items will be tested for drug residue and the owner held responsible for a drug policy violation if appropriate.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that students A, B, C, D and E violated Section II, Regulations 13a and 4 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found Students A, B, C, D and E were found responsible for Regulation 4.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that students A and B violated Section II, Regulations 13a of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found Students A and B responsible and recommended Student A receive a disciplinary warning and that Student B receives a disciplinary warning and that Student B receives a disciplinary warning and 10 hours of community service.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that a student violated Section II, Regulation 13a and 13b of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The student was found responsible for 13a because it was more likely than not the smell of marijuana was from his room and paraphernalia was found in his room. The student was found not responsible for 13b because the bottles of alcohol were all empty. The sanction was disciplinary warning because the student had no related prior violations.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student violated Section II, Regulation 13a of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The student was found responsible and based on past findings was recommended disciplinary probation for the rest of the semester.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that a student violated Section II, Regulation 13a of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found the student responsible and recommended disciplinary probation for his third fire safety related transgression.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation Students A and B violated Section II, Regulation 13a of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found Student A and B responsible and the Board recommended Student A and B receive a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation Students A and B violated Section II, Regulations 13a of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found Student A and B responsible, and recommended Student A and B receive a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation Student A was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulation 13a of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found Student A not responsible. No sanction was given.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulation 13a of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. Student A was found responsible and based on past findings was recommended disciplinary probation for the rest of the summer.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation Students A and B violated Section II, Regulations 13a and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found Student A responsible for Regulation 14 and Student B responsible Regulations 13a and 14 and recommended Students A and B receive disciplinary warnings.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that (10) Ten students violated Section II, Regulations 5, 13a, 13b and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct, Student A was alleged to have violated Regulation 5, Student B was alleged to have violated Regulations 13a, 13b, 13c and 14 and Student C was alleged to have violated Regulation 13a, 13b, 13d, 14 and 5 of the Code. The board found 8 students not responsible, found two students responsible for 13a and these students were given a disciplinary warning. Student A was found responsible for Regulation 5 and given a disciplinary warning and Student B was found responsible for 13a, 13b and 14 and was given a disciplinary warning and five hours of community service. Student C was found responsible for Regulation 5, 13d and 14 and was placed on disciplinary probation and assigned a meeting with the dean.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation students A, B and C violated Section II, Regulation 13a of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found students B and C not responsible. The board found student A responsible for 13a and recommended a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation Students A, B, C, D and E violated Section II, Regulation 13a of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found students A, B and C not responsible. The board found students D and E responsible for 13a and recommended a disciplinary warning for student D and 5 hours of community service for student E.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation Students A and B violated Section II, Regulation 13a of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found Student A responsible and Student B not responsible and recommended Student A receive a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student violated Section II, Regulations 13b and 15 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found the Student responsible for 15, but not responsible for 13b and recommended the Student receive a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation Students A, B, C, D violated Section II, Regulation 13a of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The narrative stated that the fire alarm went off, and that there was a strong scent of marijuana. The board found the students responsible for the charge and recommended they receive a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student violated Section II, Regulations 13a and 15 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The narrative stated that the student's room was found with a plastic bag of marijuana; Middletown Police were called. The student was also found with used smoking materials. The board found the student responsible for both charges and recommended a Disciplinary Warning for both charges as the student was not present at the fire safety inspection.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation Students A and B violated Section II, Regulations 13a and 15 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found Students A and B responsible for 15 and not responsible for 13a. The Board recommended Students A and B receive a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulation 13a of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The narrative stated that fire safety had found a pipe with marijuana residue in it during a fire safety inspection. The board found the student responsible for the charge and the student was given a warning as it was his first offense.

Regulation 13b - Drugs and Alcohol

The University prohibits underage and unlawful possession, use, abuse, or distribution of illicit drugs and alcohol. This prohibition includes, but is not limited to, the following: Underage possession or consumption of alcohol anywhere on university property or at university-sponsored events.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student violated Section II, Regulation 13b of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found the student responsible and recommended the student receive a disciplinary probation until he/she reaches their 21st birthday, as well as monthly meetings with the student's class dean and the Office of Behavioral Health throughout the duration of probation.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation Student A, B and C violated Section II, Regulation 13b and 13c of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. Students B and C were alleged to have violated Regulations 13b. The Board found Student A responsible. A disciplinary warning was given. Students B and C were found not responsible.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulations 13b and 9c of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found the student responsible and recommended the student receive a disciplinary warning and attend a fire safety seminar.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that Russian House violated Section II, Regulations 13b, 13c, 15 and 4 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. A Student was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulations 13c and 4 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found Russian House responsible for 4 and found the student not responsible for both charges. Russian House was given a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student violated Section II, Regulation 13b of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found the student responsible and recommended a disciplinary probation for a semester.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulations 13b and 13e of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found the student responsible for Regulation 13b and not responsible for violation 13e and recommended disciplinary warning and five hours of community service.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that students A, B and C violated Section II, Regulations 13b and 13e of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found Students A, B and C responsible for Regulations 13b and 13e, and recommended that Students A, B and C receive a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation students A and B violated Section II, Regulations 13b and 13e of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found Student A and B responsible and recommended Student A and B receive a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation students A and B violated Section II, Regulation 13b of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found Student A not responsible. The board found Student B responsible and recommended a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student violated Section II, Regulation 13b and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found the student responsible for 13b and 14 and recommended a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student violated Section II, Regulation 13b of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found the student responsible for 13b and recommended a disciplinary warning, a referral to the Office of Behavioral Health and completion of the Alcohol Edu Program.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulations 13b and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The narrative stated that the student was sent for an alcohol related medical transport. After being assigned to attend a Choices Workshop, the student failed to attend. The student also failed to attend the hearing. The board found the student responsible for both charges and recommended the student receive a Disciplinary Warning, Choices Workshop re-assigned, as well as (5) hours of community service.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation Students A, B, C, D violated Section II, Regulation 13b of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The narrative stated that during a fire safety inspection a marijuana pipe was found. The board found the students responsible for the charge but did not recommend a sanction because peoplesoft was down and the case was held for more discussion.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student violated Section II, Regulations 13b and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found the student responsible for 13b and 14 and recommended a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulations 13b and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found the student not responsible for 13b and 14.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulation 13b of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found the student responsible for 13b. The board recommended a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation Students A and B violated Section II, Regulations 13b, 13c and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found Students A and B responsible for 13b, 13c and 14 and recommended Students A and B receive a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulation 13b of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found the student responsible for Regulation 13b and recommended a disciplinary warning and meet with his class dean twice a month through the end of the semester.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation Students A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, I violated Section II, regulation 13b of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The narrative stated that the students were in a room where there had been drinking. Those who admitted to drinking and the person whose room it was were found responsible, and the other students were not found responsible. All students responsible were given a disciplinary warning and the student whose room it was was given a disciplinary warning and five hours of community service.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation student A and B violated Section II, Regulations 13b and 13e of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The narrative stated that a public safety officer was making rounds in Hewitt when Students A and B were found with open containers of alcohol. They cooperated and the alcohol was disposed of. The board found the students responsible and they were each given a warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulations 13b, 13e and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found the student responsible for 13b, 13e and 14 and recommended a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student A was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulation 13b and 5 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found the student responsible for 13b and 5 and recommended a disciplinary warning and five hours of community service.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that (6) students violated Section II, Regulation 13b of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct and Student A was alleged to have violated Section II, Regulations 13b, 13c and 13d of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found four students responsible for Regulation 13b, two students not responsible for 13b and Student A responsible for 13b, 13c and 13d. The board recommended that the four students found responsible for 13b receive a disciplinary warning and Student A receive a disciplinary warning, attend a choices workshop and ten hours of community service.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation students A and B violated Section II, Regulations 13b and 14 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found the students responsible for 13b and 14 and recommended Student A and B receive a disciplinary warning, attend a choices workshop and five hours of community service.

Regulation 9e - Restricted Items/Fire Hazards - Lethal Weapons

The following are considered fire hazards and are prohibited within any university-owned or -operated facility:

Lethal Weapons - Personal possession or use of operable firearms, air guns, or other lethal weapons is prohibited on the Wesleyan campus or while participating in university activities.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student violated Section II, regulation 9e of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found the student responsible for 9e and recommended a disciplinary warning.

Regulation 10 - Reckless Endangerment

Creating condition(s) or an environment that endangers, or has the potential to endanger, other members of the community or property is prohibited. Failure to take reasonable constructive action to remedy such conditions may also constitute a violation.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student violated Section II, Regulations 10 and 8 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The student was found responsible and due to the interactions with Middletown Police was given a disciplinary warning.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student violated Section II, Regulations 10, and 9b of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found the student responsible for 10, 9b and recommended a Disciplinary Warning and five hours of fire safety university service and also required to attend one fire safety seminar.

Regulation 14 - Failure to Comply

Members of the community are expected to comply with reasonable requests made by university personnel acting within the capacity of their responsibilities, including requests for adequate identification. Public Safety officers should be allowed to enter private residential spaces to address suspected policy violations. Officers may enter private residential spaces without residents' permission only with the approval of the vice president for student affairs (or designee).

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation a student violated Section II, Regulation 14, 15 and 8 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The Board found the student was responsible for 14, 15 and 8 and the Board recommended a disciplinary warning and attend a fire safety seminar.

Regulation 15 - Department Regulations

Members of the community are expected to abide by duly established and promulgated non-academic regulations. This is intended to cover the operating regulations of all university programs and facilities.

In a Simplified Hearing, the board considered an allegation that Psi U violated Section II, Regulations 1 and 15 of the Code of Non-Academic Conduct. The board found Psi U responsible for 15 and not responsible for 1 and recommended the house receive a disciplinary warning, complete 10 hours of community service and have all residents complete host training.